Submitted electronically to: https://www.regulations.gov

Ms. Michelle Schutz
Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (5202T)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Re: Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OLEM-2019-0341; Designation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) as CERCLA Hazardous Substances

Dear Ms. Schutz:

The undersigned organizations—representing "passive receivers" of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) that may be present in drinking water, wastewater, and solid waste facility influent—are concerned that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) proposal to designate these compounds as hazardous substances under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), without accompanying relief, could result in significant increased costs for essential public service providers and the communities they serve while undercutting the Administration's broader human health and environmental protection goals.

Drinking water treatment plants, municipal wastewater treatment facilities, and solid waste landfills and composting facilities neither manufacture nor use per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance (PFAS); instead, they are passive receivers of media containing PFAS—compounds that are ubiquitous in the stream of commerce and environment. Each of these public services is interdependent; landfills rely on wastewater treatment facilities for their leachate discharge while water and wastewater treatment facilities depend on landfills for biosolids management and disposal of spent water filtration systems. Designating PFOA and PFOS as CERCLA hazardous substances would disrupt this interdependence by driving each sector to revisit its acceptance of influent streams containing concentrations of PFOA and PFOS.

CERCLA designation thus would lead to significant cost increases on public service providers and the communities they serve while impeding EPA's commitments espoused in the agency's PFAS Strategic Roadmap:

- There currently are no cost-effective techniques available to treat or remove PFOA or PFOS for the sheer volume
 of drinking water, wastewater, and landfill leachate managed daily by passive receiver facilities, as advanced
 treatment techniques at this scale are very costly. Undertaking additional treatment for PFOA and PFOS would
 add significantly to the costs of facility operation.
- Drinking water and wastewater facilities must manage media containing concentrations of PFOA and PFOS
 generated from influent treatment. The management of biosolids via incineration or land application, for
 example, is under increasing scrutiny in many states, and any additional disruption to available disposal outlets
 could result in additional cost increases for wastewater treatment.
- Passive receivers could be held liable for the entire cost of cleanup of a contaminated site, both on a prospective basis and for lawful activities going back decades. Regardless of EPA's use of enforcement discretion in initiating remedial actions, CERCLA designation would result in third-party contribution and cost recovery claims, likely leading to substantial litigation costs for public service providers and the communities they serve.
- These foreseeable cost increases, combined with actions taken by passive receivers to curtail acceptance of
 influent with concentrations of PFOA or PFOS, could impact the ability of some public service providers to
 continue operating, frustrate EPA cleanup activities around military installations and other affected communities,
 and disproportionately impact low-income communities that rely on the affordability of passive receiver services.

The undersigned organizations recommend that EPA, the Interagency Policy Committee on PFAS, and the broader Administration acknowledge the full unintended consequences of the proposed rule, evaluate all relevant authorities that could provide relief to passive receivers and the communities they serve, and reinstate the "polluter pays" principle of the statute in lieu of a "community pays" approach in which public service providers would be subject to CERCLA liability. Thank you for your consideration of our input, and we look forward to continuing to partner with EPA on actions to address PFAS under the PFAS Strategic Roadmap.

Sincerely,

Scott D. Grayson, CAE Chief Executive Officer

American Public Works Association

Matthew D. Chase

Chief Executive Officer & Executive Director National Association of County Officials

Clarence E. Anthony

Chief Executive Officer & Executive Director

Fanell Z. Smith

National League of Cities

Darrell K. Smith

President & Chief Executive Officer National Waste & Recycling Association

Janine Burke-Wells Executive Director

North East Biosolids & Residuals Association

David Biderman

Executive Director & Chief Executive Officer Solid Waste Association of North America

Dan Bule

Frank Franciosi Executive Director

U.S. Composting Council

om cochran

Tom Cochran

Chief Executive Officer & Executive Director U.S. Conference of Mayors

Gerard J. Neuser

Chair

Wisconsin Counties Solid Waste Management Association